

Alan
Jones
Zhonghao,

to me, Abdolreza, Andrei, Darrell, Dong, Orhan, Dr.Saumitra,
Gavin, George, Sharafat, Sonja, Susan, jones, Dick, Linda

show
details 11/7/06

You wrote:

----- Original Message -----

In your "**Score X**", you have **22** misses, **5** more than my **17**, but you only wrote "change 23 and 32 to misses". Did you forget to write No. 19, 26 and 45 after "23 and 32 " or you worried about something?

If you look at my statement, it says:

Take out dependent events, aftershocks, and change 23 and 32 to misses: $x = 1.537$, $p = 0.12$

If you look at my chart, I clearly state which are aftershocks and which are dependent events.

I really don't like the tone of your question. I have provided you with everything I produced three and a half years ago. It is very difficult to go back and recall what I did then. But to answer your questions:

19 -- I did not agree with your hit but I found another event that satisfied your criteria. Therefore, I gave you a hit.

26 - I credited you with a hit because it was not an aftershock of Northridge. Therefore, I gave you a hit.

45 - I couldn't find this in my database but I gave you a hit anyway.

So what are you complaining about? I gave you hits on the three events you mention above.

There were events that were not independent which I had to remove.

Regards,

Alan